

October 2013

Still Bubbling Over: California Adolescents Drinking More Soda and Other Sugar-Sweetened Beverages

Susan H. Babey, Joelle Wolstein and Harold Goldstein

SUMMARY: This policy brief examines changes in consumption of soda and other sugar-sweetened beverages among youth in California. Using data from the California Health Interview Survey, this study found that although the percent of children under the age of 12 who drink at least one sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) per day dropped between 2005

and 2012, SSB consumption increased among adolescents. Establishing and strengthening policies that focus on reducing SSB consumption, especially among adolescents, could counter the SSB consumption trend among adolescents as well as result in further reductions among younger children.

“Sugar-sweetened beverage consumption is increasing among adolescents.”

Soda and other sugar-sweetened beverages (such as energy and sports drinks) are the largest source of added sugar in the diets of both children and adults in the U.S.¹ Consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages has increased considerably since the 1970s. Between 1977 and 2002 Americans increased their caloric intake from soft drinks by more than 200%.² Recent research suggests that consumption declined between 2000 and 2010.³ Nevertheless, sweetened beverages, which lack essential nutrients, continue to be a significant contributor to total caloric intake, especially for children and adolescents.^{4,5} Despite recent declines, both adults and children still consume at least 150 calories from SSBs on any given day.³ Because liquid calories do not satiate as well as solid foods, sweetened beverages tend to add to the calories people consume rather than replace them.^{6,7}

Drinking sweetened beverages that have added caloric sweeteners (such as sucrose or high fructose corn syrup) is associated with less healthy diets as well as health problems, including overweight and obesity, type 2 diabetes and dental decay.⁸⁻¹³ Numerous


studies have found that consumption of sweetened beverages is associated with overweight and obesity among both adults and children.⁸⁻¹⁰ Overweight and obesity are associated with serious health risks in children and adolescents, including increased risk for being overweight or obese in adulthood, as well as cardiovascular disease indicators such as high total cholesterol, high blood pressure and high fasting insulin.^{14,15} In addition, consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages has been associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes and metabolic syndrome.¹³ Finally, consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages has been associated with decreased intake of more nutritious foods such as milk, fruits and vegetables.^{11,12}

This policy brief, produced by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research with funding from the California Center for Public Health Advocacy, examines the prevalence of and changes in consumption of soda and other sugar-sweetened beverages among California youth. The findings presented are based on data from the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS). This policy brief



Exhibit 1

Percent of Children and Adolescents Drinking One or More Sodas or Other Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Per Day by Age Group, California, 2005-07 and 2011-12



*Significantly different from 2005-07, $p < 0.05$

Source: 2005-07 and 2011-12 California Health Interview Surveys

“SSB consumption among young children has declined 27% since 2005.”

also presents county-by-county variation in consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages.

Understanding the Numbers

In order to produce stable estimates at the county level, two cycles of CHIS data were combined, encompassing the time period from 2005 to 2007. This time period was then compared with the most recent CHIS survey, CHIS 2011-12, to examine changes over time.

Sugary Drink Consumption Declined in All Age Groups Except Adolescents

In California, 41% of children ages 2-17 drank at least one soda or other sugary beverage every day in 2011-12. Consumption

of sugary drinks varied considerably by age (Exhibit 1). Nearly two-thirds of adolescents ages 12-17 (65%) drank at least one SSB per day—more than twice the proportion of children ages 6-11 (32%) and more than three times that of 2-5 year olds (19%).

Consumption of soda or other sugary drinks declined for all age groups except adolescents over the last seven years (Exhibit 1). Among children ages 2-5, the percent drinking at least one SSB per day dropped from 27% in 2005-07 to 19% in 2011-12. Similar declines were seen among children ages 6-11, from 43% to 32% over this same time period. However, among adolescents, 60% drank at least one SSB per day in 2005-07 compared

Percent of Children (Ages 2-11) and Adolescents (Ages 12-17) Drinking At Least One Soda or Other Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Per Day, Largest Counties, California, 2005-07 and 2011-12

Exhibit 2

County	Children (Ages 2-11)			Adolescents (Ages 12-17)		
	2005-07	2011-12	Percent Change	2005-07	2011-12	Percent Change
Alameda	25%	31%	24%	58%	64%	10%
Contra Costa	35%	16%*	-54%	43%	52%	21%
Fresno	45%	48%	7%	73%	76%	4%
Kern	49%	36%	-27%	63%	63%*	0%
Los Angeles	41%	26%	-37%	62%	68%	10%
Orange	34%	20%	-41%	57%	60%	5%
Riverside	39%	35%	-10%	65%	65%	0%
Sacramento	33%	23%	-30%	54%	58%	7%
San Bernardino	43%	34%	-21%	65%	71%	9%
San Diego	31%	28%	-10%	61%	61%	0%
San Francisco	15%	+		48%	48%*	0%
San Joaquin	38%	35%	-8%	70%	80%*	14%
San Mateo	28%	15%*	-46%	48%	56%	17%
Santa Clara	32%	22%	-31%	51%	53%	4%
Ventura	35%	16%*	-54%	62%	52%	-16%
California	37%	27%	-27%	60%	65%	8%

Note: Few differences between 2005-07 and 2011-12 were statistically significant. Estimates for less populous counties could not be broken down by age group. Exhibit 4 displays estimates among all youth ages 2-17 for all counties.

* Data from CHIS 2011-12 and CHIS 2009 were combined to provide a statistically reliable estimate.

+ Data not statistically reliable

Source: 2005-07 and 2011-12 California Health Interview Surveys

to 65% in 2011-12. This trend is particularly troubling because adolescents also had the highest rates of consumption. The increase in consumption among adolescents was likely due, in part, to increased consumption of sports and energy drinks. Between 2009 and 2011-12, the percent of adolescents drinking at least one sports or energy drink per day increased significantly from 31% to 38%; whereas consumption of soda decreased slightly (from 43% to 41%), but the decrease was not statistically significant.


Adolescent Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption Declined in Only One Large County

Exhibit 2 shows changes in SSB consumption among children (ages 2-11) and adolescents (ages 12-17) for the most populous counties in California. Among younger children, SSB consumption declined in 12 of the 15 largest counties and increased in only two, though few differences in consumption between 2005-07 and 2011-12 are statistically significant. In contrast, consumption among adolescents increased in 10 of the 15 largest counties and declined in only one.

“Energy and sports drinks are likely driving the increase in consumption among adolescents.”

Exhibit 3

Percent of Youth Ages 2-17 Drinking One or More Sodas or Other Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Per Day by Race/Ethnicity, California, 2005-07 and 2011-12



*Significantly different from 2005-07, $p < 0.05$

Source: 2005-07 and 2011-12 California Health Interview Surveys

“In nine California counties at least 50% of youth ages 2-17 drank at least one SSB each day.”

Disparities in Sugary Drink Consumption Persist

Among California youth overall, consumption of sugary drinks declined across all racial/ethnic groups (Exhibit 3). The percent drinking at least one SSB per day was significantly lower in 2011-12 than in 2005-07 among Latino, African-American and white youth.¹⁶ However, among adolescents (ages 12-17) consumption increased across all racial/ethnic groups except whites, with statistically significant increases occurring among Latino and Asian youth.

Even with declines in consumption among Latino and African-American youth, racial/ethnic disparities in SSB consumption persist (Exhibit 3). The proportion of youth drinking at least one sugary beverage per day was highest among Latinos at 48%, significantly higher than among whites at 33%. African-

American youth (43%) and multi-racial youth (46%) also had significantly higher consumption than whites.

Central Valley Counties Have Highest Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption

Exhibit 4 shows prevalence of consumption of sugary drinks by county for youth ages 2-17. Combining youth across all ages allows for comparison of consumption estimates for all counties. Consumption of sugary drinks varied considerably from county to county in California. In 2011-12, there were nine counties in which at least 50% of youth ages 2-17 drank at least one SSB each day. Among those, consumption was highest in Fresno and Kings Counties (58% and 60%, respectively). Six counties had a prevalence of daily SSB consumption below 30%, with the lowest prevalence in San Francisco and Marin Counties (21% and 25%, respectively).

Prevalence and Changes in Percent of Youth Ages 2-17 Drinking One or More Sodas or Other Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Per Day by County or County Group, California, 2005-07 and 2011-12

Exhibit 4

County or County Group	2005-07	2011-12	Percent Change
Alameda	37%	43%	16%
Butte	43%	39%	-9%
Contra Costa	38%	29%	-24%
Del Norte, Siskiyou, Lassen, Trinity, Modoc, Plumas, Sierra	45%	45%	0%
El Dorado	44%	36%	-18%
Fresno	56%	58%	4%
Humboldt	35%	33%	-6%
Imperial	57%	51%	-11%
Kern	54%	48%	-11%
Kings	57%	60%	5%
Lake	39%	53%	36%
Los Angeles	49%	42%	-14%
Madera	52%	48%	-8%
Marin	31%	25%	-19%
Mendocino	34%	34%	0%
Merced	57%	56%	-2%
Monterey	41%	53%	29%
Napa	42%	29%	-31%
Nevada	35%	37%	6%
Orange	43%	35%	-19%
Placer	42%	35%	-17%
Riverside	50%	47%	-6%
Sacramento	42%	36%	-14%
San Benito	48%	36%	-25%
San Bernardino	52%	49%	-6%
San Diego	42%	40%	-5%
San Francisco	25%	21%*	-16%
San Joaquin	50%	54%	8%
San Luis Obispo	46%	50%	9%
San Mateo	35%	29%	-17%
Santa Barbara	42%	34%	-19%
Santa Clara	39%	33%	-15%
Santa Cruz	38%	30%	-21%
Shasta	44%	39%	-11%
Solano	45%	56%	24%
Sonoma	40%	30%	-25%
Stanislaus	48%	49%	2%
Sutter	50%	42%	-16%
Tehama, Glenn, Colusa	48%	46%	-4%
Tulare	54%	49%	-9%
Tuolumne, Calaveras, Amador, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Alpine	40%	32%	-20%
Ventura	46%	29%	-37%
Yolo	42%	40%	-5%
Yuba	44%	30%	-32%
California	46%	41%	-11%

*For San Francisco County, data from CHIS 2011-12 and CHIS 2009 were combined to provide a statistically reliable estimate. Few differences between 2005-07 and 2011-12 were statistically significant.

Source: 2005-07 and 2011-12 California Health Interview Surveys

“Policies that discouraged soda may have had the unintended consequence of driving up consumption of sports and energy drinks.”

Summary and Conclusions

The findings in this policy brief suggest that California has experienced some success in its efforts to reduce consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages. However, consumption among adolescents is on the rise. National health organizations recommend reducing consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages to help prevent obesity and improve public health.¹⁷ Youth SSB consumption is influenced by a variety of social and environmental factors, including the food environment, marketing, education and norms. For example, research suggests that adolescents view more than 400 television ads for soda, energy drinks, sports drinks and fruit drinks in a year.¹⁸ The consumption of SSBs by so many California children and the increase in SSB consumption among adolescents suggest that continued efforts are needed to reduce SSB consumption for all children, with a particular emphasis on adolescents. Policymakers could consider the following options:

- **Remove SSBs.** Policies that remove SSBs from schools and other places where youth spend significant amounts of time have been implemented successfully around the state. Unfortunately, many of the policies have focused solely on elementary school-age children or a single type of sugary beverage such as soda and may have the unintended consequence of encouraging consumption of other SSBs, like sports and energy drinks.
- **Provide Alternatives.** Water, fat-free or low-fat milk, and other unsweetened beverages should be available and easily accessible where youth congregate, including at schools and other public areas.
- **Limit Marketing of SSBs.** The marketing of high-calorie, low-nutrient foods and beverages is linked to overweight and obesity. As a result, both the World Health Organization and the Institute of Medicine (IOM) have called for standards in the marketing of foods and beverages

to children. For example, the IOM recommends that foods and beverages marketed to children and adolescents should be consistent with the Dietary Guidelines for Americans.

- **Educate Youth and Parents.** Continue to educate youth and parents about the health effects of SSB consumption. For example, “Rethink Your Drink” campaigns have been implemented throughout California as well as nationally to help people identify beverages with added sugar and provide information about healthy drink options.

Data Source and Methods

This policy brief examines the prevalence of and trends in consumption of soda and other sugar-sweetened beverages in California using data from the 2005, 2007, 2009 and 2011-12 California Health Interview Surveys. All statements in this report that compare rates for one group with another group reflect statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) unless otherwise noted. Each year, CHIS completes interviews with adults, adolescents and parents of children in over 40,000 households, drawn from every county in the state. Interviews are conducted in English, Spanish, Chinese (both Mandarin and Cantonese), Vietnamese and Korean. Adults and adolescents self-reported their consumption of soda and other sweetened beverages. Adults were asked the following question: “During the past month, how many times (per day, per week or per month) did you drink soda such as Coke or 7-up? Do not include diet soda.” Responses to these questions were converted to a common metric to estimate daily consumption of soda. In CHIS 2005 and 2007, Adolescents were asked “Yesterday, how many glasses or cans of soda such as Coke, or other sweetened drinks such as fruit punch or Sunny Delight did you drink? Do not count diet drinks.” Starting with CHIS 2009, these were split into the following two questions: “Yesterday, how many glasses or cans of soda such as Coke, did you drink? Do not count diet drinks.” and “Yesterday, how many glasses or cans of sweetened fruit drinks, sports, or energy drinks, did you drink?” Responses to these questions were combined to estimate daily consumption of soda and other sweetened beverages. For children ages 2-11, the most knowledgeable parent or guardian responded to the following question: “Yesterday, how many glasses or cans of soda such as Coke or other sweetened drinks such as fruit punch or Sunny Delight did (he/she) drink? Do not count diet drinks.” For all respondents,



This publication contains data from the California Health Interview Survey (CHIS), the nation’s largest state health survey. Conducted by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, CHIS data give a detailed picture of the health and health care needs of California’s large and diverse population. Learn more at: www.chis.ucla.edu

consumption of 100% fruit juice was reported in a separate question and is not included in our estimates of sweetened beverage consumption.

The California Health Interview Survey is a collaboration of the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, California Department of Public Health, the California Department of Health Care Services and the Public Health Institute. For funders and additional information on CHIS, visit www.chis.ucla.edu.

Author Information

Susan H. Babey, PhD, is a senior research scientist at the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. Joelle Wolstein, MPP, is a graduate student researcher at the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. Harold Goldstein, DrPH, is the Executive Director of the California Center for Public Health Advocacy.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Melanie Levy, MS, Yueyan Wang, PhD, Stefan Harvey, Julie Williamson, Gwen Driscoll and Celeste Maglan for their assistance. The authors would also like to thank the following individuals for their helpful comments: Shené Bowie-Onye, DrPH, ACSM-HFS, Executive Director, California Healthy Kids and After School Resource Center, Alameda County Office of Education; Allison L. Diamant, MD MSHS, Professor, Division of General Internal Medicine and Health Services Research, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA; and Xavier Morales, PhD, Executive Director, Latino Coalition for a Healthy California.

Funding

Support for this policy brief was provided by a grant from The California Endowment to the California Center for Public Health Advocacy.

Suggested Citation

Babey SH, Wolstein J, Goldstein H. *Still Bubbling Over: California Adolescents Drinking More Soda and Other Sugar-Sweetened Beverages*. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research and California Center for Public Health Advocacy, 2013.

Endnotes

- 1 Welsh JA, Sharma AJ, Grellinger L, Vos MB. Consumption of added sugars is decreasing in the United States. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2011;94(3):726-734.
- 2 Duffey KJ, Popkin BM. Shifts in patterns and consumption of beverages between 1965 and 2002. *Obesity*. 2007;15(11):2739-2747.
- 3 Kit BK, Fakhouri TH, Park S, Nielsen SJ, Ogden CL. Trends in sugar-sweetened beverage consumption among youth and adults in the United States: 1999–2010. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2013;98(1):180-188.
- 4 Block G. Foods contributing to energy intake in the US: data from NHANES III and NHANES 1999–2000. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*. 2004;17(3-4):439-447.
- 5 Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Changes in beverage intake between 1977 and 2001. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2004;27(3):205-210.
- 6 Flood JE, Roe LS, Rolls BJ. The Effect of Increased Beverage Portion Size on Energy Intake at a Meal. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 2006;106(12):1984-1990.
- 7 Pan A, Hu FB. Effects of carbohydrates on satiety: differences between liquid and solid food. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition*. 2011;14(4):385-390.
- 8 Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2006;84(2):274-288.
- 9 Schulze MB, Manson JE, Ludwig DS, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverages, weight gain, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in young and middle-aged women. *JAMA*. 2004;292(8):927-934.
- 10 Hu FB. Resolved: there is sufficient scientific evidence that decreasing sugar-sweetened beverage consumption will reduce the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases. *Obesity Reviews*. 2013;14(8):606-619.
- 11 Gortmaker S, Long M, Y W. The Negative Impact of Sugar-Sweetened Beverages on Children's Health: A Research Synthesis. 2009. <http://www.rwjf.org/en/research-publications/find-rwjf-research/2009/11/the-negative-impact-of-sugar-sweetened-beverages-on-children-s-h.html>.
- 12 Vartanian LR, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Effects of Soft Drink Consumption on Nutrition and Health: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2007;97(4):667-675.
- 13 Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Despres JP, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(11):2477-2483.
- 14 Freedman DS, Mei Z, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS, Dietz WH. Cardiovascular risk factors and excess adiposity among overweight children and adolescents: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Journal of Pediatrics*. 2007;150(1):12-17 e12.
- 15 Guo SS, Wu W, Chumlea WC, Roche AF. Predicting overweight and obesity in adulthood from body mass index values in childhood and adolescence. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2002;76(3):653-658.
- 16 $p < 0.06$ for the comparison between 2005–07 and 2011–12 among Latinos.
- 17 U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2010. <http://www.health.gov/dietaryguidelines/dga2010/DietaryGuidelines2010.pdf>. Accessed August 8, 2013.
- 18 Harris JL, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD, et al. Sugary Drink F.A.C.T.S.: Evaluating Sugary Drink Nutrition and Marketing to Youth. 2011. http://www.sugarydrinkfacts.org/resources/SugaryDrinkFACTS_Report.pdf. Accessed September 18, 2013.

10960 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1550
Los Angeles, California 90024

First Class
Mail
U.S. Postage
PAID
UCLA



The UCLA Center
for Health Policy Research
is affiliated with the
UCLA Fielding School of Public Health and
the UCLA Luskin School of Public Affairs.

The analyses, interpretations, conclusions
and views expressed in this policy brief are
those of the authors and do not necessarily
represent the UCLA Center for Health Policy
Research, the Regents of the University
of California, or collaborating
organizations or funders.

PB2013-8

Copyright © 2013 by the Regents of
the University of California and the
California Center for Public Health Advocacy.

Editor-in-Chief: Gerald F. Kominski, PhD

Phone: 310-794-0909
Fax: 310-794-2686
Email: chpr@ucla.edu
www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu



Read this publication online



WHAT INDIVIDUALS CAN DO

- Reduce consumption of soda and other sugary drinks for you and your family.
- Advocate for state and local policies to remove sugary drinks from parks, community centers, pools and other venues.
- Support state and local sugary drink taxes to fund childhood obesity prevention programs.

**WHAT HOSPITALS, WORKPLACES, RELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS,
AND OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS CAN DO**

- Incorporate information about the health effects of consuming soda and other sugary drinks into workplace wellness programs.
- Sell only healthy beverages in vending machines.
- Provide and sell only healthy beverages at programs and events, especially those attended by children and adolescents.
- Exclude soda and other sugary drink advertising and sponsorship of events, sports leagues, facilities and programs.
- Promote breastfeeding at the workplace.

WHAT ENTERTAINERS AND PROFESSIONAL ATHLETES CAN DO

- Stop endorsing sugary drinks and call on other celebrities to do the same.
- Endorse water and other healthy beverages (such as those with fewer than 40 calories per container).

WHAT CITIES AND COUNTIES CAN DO

- Provide and sell only water and other healthy beverages at city and county sponsored programs and events (e.g., community health fairs, preschool programs, after-school programs, parks and recreation events and programs).
- Sell only healthy beverages in vending machines in property owned or leased by cities or counties.
- Ensure access to clean, free drinking water in all public parks and other public places.
- Exclude soda and other sugary drink advertising and sponsorship at city and county events, sports leagues, facilities and programs.
- Include healthy beverage standards as a condition of contracts with outside organizations.
- Establish local taxes of at least one penny per ounce on sugary drinks, designating funds for programs to prevent and treat childhood obesity and diabetes.

WHAT THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE CAN DO

- Establish a tax on soda and other sugary drinks and use revenue for obesity prevention efforts such as after-school programs, physical education in schools, healthy school food programs, improvements in parks and recreation programs, and increasing access to safe drinking water, with funds going to communities in proportion to their childhood obesity rates.
- Prohibit the sale of sports drinks on public school campuses K-12.
- Prohibit marketing of soda and other sugary drinks on public school campuses K-12.

WHAT CONGRESS CAN DO

- Require the Federal Trade Commission to develop and implement standards for soda and other sugar-sweetened beverage advertising aimed at children under age 12.
- Require the Surgeon General to write a report on the health effects of consuming sugary drinks.
- Tax soda and other sugary drinks and earmark the revenues for community-based prevention programs, with funds going to communities in proportion to their childhood obesity rates.
- Protect and enhance funding and regulations to support breastfeeding.